

**THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO**

# APPLICATION FOR LETTERS PATENT OF THE UNITED STATES

TITLE OF INVENTION: MANUFACTURING SYSTEM SOFTWARE  
VERSION MANAGEMENT

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN, THE FOLLOWING IS  
A SPECIFICATION OF THE AFORESAID INVENTION

## MANUFACTURING SYSTEM SOFTWARE VERSION MANAGEMENT

[0001] This application claims the benefit under 35 U.S.C ¶ 119(e) of the priority date of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No: 60/237,910, filed October 4, 2000, the contents of which are herein incorporated by reference  
5 in their entirety.

### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0002] The present invention relates generally to the field of manufacturing control systems and, in particular, to a system and method for  
10 managing versions of computer programs for manufacturing control systems.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0003] In manufacturing systems, such as those for electronics  
15 assembly, articles of manufacture and processes associated with their manufacture are represented by computer programs. In the manufacture of electronics assemblies, many changes may occur in the design of the assemblies and in the programs that represent them. A product will generally comprise components that may, themselves, be changed. Furthermore, a  
20 second product may be developed that may differ from the first product only in certain respects. Changes to computer programs, whether they arise from product changes or from software reruns must be carefully managed to avoid running manufacturing lines with incorrect instructions and thereby wasting

5

10

15

## 20

2

invention can eliminate wasted time and parts that may be encountered in manufacturing assembly lines. The problems can arise from inconsistent understandings as to the latest status of the program that is to be run for assembling parts. These, in turn, may be due to a lack of proper means for tracking the latest version of the program for controlling the running of the manufacturing line. Even if the method or means used for tracking a single digit version number, such as exemplified by designator "V1", for, say, an electronic board (e.g., circuit board, printed circuit board as they may be referred to later), is satisfactory for tracking one type of program, it may not be adequate for tracking changes to the program. In accordance with an aspect of the present invention, version numbers are designated in such a way that any changes made to the same program are reflected in that version. This is accomplished by providing an additional field, for example for decimal places, in the version number (e.g., V1.00), which can be used to reflect the changes that are made in the sub-parts or sub-objects of the main part of the program, in addition to the changes made to the main object itself. Various aspects of the invention will be described with respect to an illustrative embodiment, in which a printed circuit board with electronic components is represented by an object oriented computer program. The aspects of the invention, however, can also apply to other articles of manufacture as well as to other forms of computer representation that are hierarchical in nature.

[0007] Changes may be made to a main object of a computer program representing an article of manufacture, or to one or more sub-objects

of the program. A version management system according to the present invention may also differentiate among differing sub-objects. The present invention provides a method of reflecting a change in a particular sub-object, for example, an object containing instructions for set-up associated with a product. This is accomplished by identifying each program recipe or instruction set by its unique name so that, as the sub-recipes under the main recipe change, those changes are reflected in a portion of the version designator or other status identifier.

[0008] However, every change that is made during development of a program, or during debugging of the program or of the manufacturing line itself, is preferably not reflected in the version designator, for, otherwise, it would be difficult to manage the myriad changes that could take place. Moreover, it may be unnecessary to track changes that do not have any impact on a respective product until those changes are found to be correct and used on a manufacturing line. Validation and release are also characterized with respect to the type of factory in which the manufacturing line is run.

[0009] Thus, in a one-line factory, the engineer who programs an assembly machine to manufacture a product may also be the person who sets up and runs the line. The engineer/operator therefore is knowledgeable about any changes, and can create, validate and release versions of a program corresponding to those changes as he/she sees fit.

[0010] In the case of a factory with several manufacturing lines, or of a geographically distributed manufacturing operation, or of an enterprise with several factories, the engineer who creates a program representing a product may differ from whoever is running the lines and setting them up. In this setting, a question arises as to who has responsibility for issuing the correct version of the program for running the lines, how is the correct version to be issued, and who has the permission to run the line. The present invention provides a release process to help ensure that only the latest working and valid program is released. Even if a slight change were made to the program, the user (such as a process engineer) would be notified that a modification has been made that needs to be approved. In an embodiment of this aspect of the invention, permission to release a particular version of a program depends upon the category of the line: permission for a line release can be given to all personnel (operators, process engineers, managers); for a factory release, to process engineers; and for an enterprise release, to a specific process engineer in the enterprise headquarters. The process disclosed in the instant invention also clarifies the user accountability depending upon where and how the version is released.

[0011] In a first embodiment of the present invention, a method for managing revisions to versions of a program code for manufacturing systems is disclosed wherein a particular program version is downloaded and run on

the manufacturing system, and, if the program performs according to a preselected criterion, a revised status identifier is designated.

[0012] Another aspect of the present invention is directed to a computer data structure for use in identifying programs for computer-controlled manufacturing systems. In an embodiment of this aspect of the invention, the programs comprise subsets organized with respect to one another in a hierarchical fashion, the subsets comprising a top-level subset and a plurality of lower-level subsets related hierarchically to the top-level subsets and to each other. A first portion indicates a revision to the top-level subset of the program, and a second portion indicates a revision to any of the lower-level subsets of the program. A method for completing the data structure is disclosed where, in a first portion for indicating a revision to the top-level subset of a program, a first symbol is inserted to indicate that such a revision has been made, and similarly, in a second portion for indicating a revision to the top-level subset of the program, a second symbol is inserted to indicate that such a revision has been made.

[0013] In another aspect of the present invention, a computer-implemented method for managing revision to a program used in the control of a manufacturing system is taught. The method involves identifying that a revision has been made to the program; identifying whether the program, as revised, satisfies a preselected criterion; if the program, as revised, satisfies the preselected criterion, automatically selecting a version designator

according to a preselected scheme; and automatically associating the selected version designator with the program code.

[0014] In addition, methods for managing revisions to a program  
5 used in the control of manufacturing system supervised by at least one  
operator, and in the control of plurality of manufacturing lines, are disclosed.  
In the former method, the manufacturing system is in communication over a  
network with a server coupled to a database containing the program, the  
manufacturing system and the server also in communication over the network  
10 with at least one client device, the at least one client device permitting  
communication with the server by a person authorized to do so in order to  
access the program, the program also being accessible via the server by the  
at least one operator through an interface associated with the manufacturing  
system. The occurrence of a revision to the program is detected over the  
15 network, and a determination is made as to whether the revision to the  
program was made by a particular one of the at least one authorized person.  
If the revision was not made by a particular one of the at least one authorized  
person, a message is sent over the network from the server to a client device  
to notify the particular person that the revision was made.

[0015] In the latter method, a version of a program is downloaded to  
the manufacturing system for testing the program associated with a status  
identifier, where the program relates to an article of manufacture that may be  
represented graphically based on information in the program. Then a request



is received to compare a first version of the program associated with a first status identifier with a second version of the program associated with a second status identifier, the first and second status identifiers assigned upon release of the respective versions for use on the plurality of manufacturing lines. Next, the program versions associated with the first and second status identifiers are retrieved, the program versions are compared to identify a set of differences, and the differences are displayed having a visual characteristic that contrasts with the representation of the article graphically.

[0016] Accordingly, the present invention provides a computer-implemented method for managing revisions to a program used in the control of manufacturing systems. This is accomplished by providing a two-part data structure for revision/version management; a method for completing a two-part data structure; a method for managing revisions involving automatically assigning a version identifier under preselected conditions; a method for managing revision involving automatic notification of personnel with a need to know of the change; and a method for managing revision involving a revision compare function. An object of the present invention is to reduce wasted time and parts, and hence improve productivity on the manufacturing line. Other objects and advantages will be apparent to those skilled in the art in view of the following description.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0017] Fig. 1a shows a symbolic representation of an embodiment of a data structure representing a version designator, according to the present invention.

5 [0018] Fig. 1b shows an embodiment of a version designator according to the present invention, showing a two level representation of revision numbers for a main object and a sub-object.

10 [0019] Fig. 2a shows an example of the creation of objects and sub-objects, according to the present invention in the context of a build process flow for a representative mobile phone.

15 [0020] Fig. 2b shows a process flow for building a mobile phone and an embodiment of a versioning method for programs having objects and sub-objects, according to the present invention.

[0021] Fig. 2c shows a process flow for building a mobile phone, along with the effect of changes in sub-recipes on an embodiment of a version designator of a main recipe, according to the present invention.

20 [0022] Fig. 3 is a chart showing an embodiment of a version tracking approach, according to the present invention, on a single manufacturing line.

[0023] Fig. 4 is a chart showing an embodiment of a version tracking approach, according to the present invention, on a multiple-line factory.

[0024] Fig. 5 is a schematic representation of a computer-controlled manufacturing system on a network communicating with a data base, a server, and a process engineer, according to the present invention.

### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0025] Fig. 1a shows a data structure designator that is used for identifying the version, and the status of that version, of a program comprising a main object and sub-objects that together represent an article of manufacture, as well as a manufacturing process step associated with that article. The first character, which in the illustrated embodiment is shown as a generic "X" (01), is a version label and can be a V, the first letter of the word "version." A top-level version identifier (02), after the version label, indicates the number of times the main object was changed, i.e., the revision number of the main object, while a lower-level version identifier after the decimal point represents the revision number of the sub-object(s). Generic letter "Y" after the numbers indicates the status of that particular version. Thus, using as an example a printed circuit board that is processed in an electronic components manufacturing line, the symbol in Fig. 1b represents a second version of the board with a third revision of a sub-object component on that board, and that V2.03R is the released version where "R" stands for "released". Had it been a

5

15

programmed to place certain components on a particular board, in this case a mobile phone board, and through the use of a machine setup for the particular assembly line. It is evident, therefore, that the final assembled board can have different characteristics or features based not only on the type of components that are placed on it, but also on the particular machine setup that is used on the line. In other words, the over-all instructions, or recipe, to be programmed into the computer-controlled system must include a sub-recipe having a list of components, a placement list for those components and, at the same time, another sub-recipe describing the setup characteristics of the line, including the feeder characteristics, as an example for the case shown in Fig. 2a.

[0027] When programming a manufacturing line anew with a new object, it is important to note that that new object is automatically assigned the version V1.00, regardless of the versions of the sub-objects, which will be described at greater length below in the description of the embodiments. Thus, in building the mobile phone process flow shown in Fig. 2a, first the sub-objects are created in the program: namely, package form (10), i.e., the geometry of the area, including spacing, into which the transistor components will be placed; components (20) themselves; placement list (30), i.e., the places where the components will be mounted on the board; board (40). Each sub-object has a version V1.00. Similarly, the sub-objects on the mobile phone sub-recipe side of the main-recipe also are assigned version V1.00: namely, feeder (55) for feeding components in placement on the board, and

setup for the mobile phone line. Finally, the main-object, that is, main-recipe (70) for all the instructions in the sub-recipes also gets version V1.00. Even if the sub-objects are of a different version, the main object still gets version V1.00. At this point, the version is not yet released for manufacturing.

5

[0028] However, once the main recipe (70) is first created with version V1.00, any subsequent changes and modifications in the sub-objects will be reflected in the revision number for all the sub-objects upstream from that changed sub-object, up to and including the main-recipe. Thus, if a mobile phone board built with recipe V1.00 having component (20) with a package form factor (10) is defective because of an inadequate tolerance prescribed in that form factor, a different form factor can be assigned, thereby changing the version for the package form to V2.00. Any sub-object that does not comprise, and, therefore is not influenced by other sub-sub-objects, as in the case with the package form factor, will have its second set of numbers of its version number unchanged at .00. However, as pointed out above, any sub-object that is influenced by changes in other sub-objects, will have their version numbers incremented by .01. Hence the version numbers V1.01 shown in Fig. 2b.

20

[0029] Any change on the right hand side leg of Fig. 2b, that is, in the collective sub-recipe of the right hand side (80) of the same Figure, which can be characterized as board recipe, does also affect the sub-recipe on the left side (90), which also can be characterized as setup or line recipe.

Because the package form is now of version V2.00, the feeder incorporating that package form takes on version V1.01, and so does the setup sub-object. If, now, the feeder is modified for some reason, and becomes version 2.00, for example, that will affect the setup sub-object recipe, and the main recipe or object (70) will also have its version incremented by .01 to V1.02. This is shown in Fig. 2c. Thus, the main object, which is upstream of the setup recipe, shows two changes in its sub-objects. Sub-recipes from which those changes emanate should preferably be given unique names, such as "Setup" or "Line" recipe on the left side of Fig. 2b, and "Board" recipe for the right side in the same Figure so that the user can trace back where the change or modifications took place.

[0030] After the initial programming of a computer-controlled manufacturing system, any subsequent modification, that is, editing of the objects, takes on a different significance depending upon whether the edited version is released or not. First, when a version 1.00 is downloaded to the line, the machines on the line will allow only one board to run through the line, but in the "run-in" mode only. A second board is allowed to move into the input conveyor of a machine, but requires the user to press the start button before it can be assembled. The user will be asked to check the entire board and confirm if the board got assembled without any errors. To help in checking the modifications, graphical printout as well as textual printout of the modified parameters will be displayed at the station or can be printed to help in finding the modification to an earlier released version. As soon as the user

approves the program, the version is then either released or validated, depending upon the permission level, as will be described further below, and will receive an "R" or "V", respectively, at the end of the version, which is then frozen. The line will no longer require the manual intervention for the start  
5 button to be pressed for each board to go through the line thereafter. Thus, when the last released version is being edited, the version automatically increases by 1 and sets the last digits to .00. Similarly, when an earlier released version is being edited, the first digit of the version will be increased by 1 and the last two digits set to .00. When an unreleased version is being  
10 edited, the version number does not increase.

[0031] When a sub-object (released or unreleased) of an unreleased version is being edited, the version of the main object does not increase. On the other hand, when a sub-object of a released version is being edited, the  
15 version increases by 0.01 and will be marked as unreleased. When the same sub-object then is released, even as part of a different recipe, the main object will automatically be released for the areas the sub-object was released.

[0032] It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that it is most  
20 important to have the latest valid and working program running in the manufacturing line. If a slight change is made to a working program, the user is, according to the present invention, automatically notified and informed of what modification to check and approve. Depending upon the level of the "permission" or approval authority that the user has, the user may be allowed



to validate the product for a line, or release the product for the factory or globally.

[0033] Validation and release processes are distinguished by the  
5 level of permission granted to the user for validation and release. Released  
objects (such as recipes) are valid for an entire factory having multiple lines,  
or even a plurality of factories, whereas validated objects are valid for only  
one line. Release can only be given to objects by authorized users with high  
levels of permission. In contrast, validation can be done by personnel with  
10 lower levels of permission, such as operators, to allow them to modify  
programs that cause a problem and then continue the running of the line.  
However, any change the operators make is valid only for that line, and it  
requires the approval of an expert (such as an authorized process engineer)  
for the change to be disseminated to the rest of the factory. Likewise, before  
15 the validated objects can be downloaded to other lines, they must first be  
selected by someone with the appropriate permission level. Released  
versions are, in general, the version that will be downloaded. Just as the  
release of a higher level object will release lower level objects automatically,  
the validation of higher level objects will validate lower level objects  
20 automatically.

[0034] According to another aspect of the present invention the  
process of releasing a version provides for the most flexibility in not curtailing  
the productivity of the line. That is, any user can release objects

5

15

[0036] Where an operator is equipped with a mobile operator kit, such as a Pocket PC<sup>®</sup> with a bar-code scanner, or other suitable handheld computer, in contrast to stationary computer stations, the operator can perform many different functions, including closed loop component validation, performance maximization, and others. The user has to log on to the manufacturing control system, before she/he can use it. Since there is one operator kit per operator, the login information can be used to check permission level in order to release objects and record the release information including the user information.

[0037] In another aspect of the present invention, the computer-controlled manufacturing system provides help to a user in deciding whether he/she wants to download the latest released version, a specific version or the latest unreleased version of an object, such as a recipe. This is accomplished by giving the user a choice in selecting a version to download, however, commensurate with her/his level of permission. With a minimum grant of permission, the user will only be able to select the latest released or validated version for that line to download. This will be the normal case for operators. Also, when selecting a recipe, the default is preferably the latest released or validated version. The availability of the newer, unreleased or partially released version is also made visible to the user. A partially released version is that which is validated for another line or released for another factory. The user then chooses to decide which version to select. It is also possible to select a previous version, if required.

30

same manner as before by 0.01 if the form factor of a component downstream is modified. The version number of the component itself is incremented by 1, to V3.0 for the reasons given earlier. After checking the board for its integrity, the Recipe-3 and package form are released with the stated version numbers.

5 Since there was a change on the board, the system automatically updates recipes at the single line Enterprise level.

[0039] The case of version tracking in a multiple-line factory is shown in Fig. 4. Here, at a factory with several manufacturing lines, or at a globally distributed factory, or at an Enterprise with several factories, the  
10 engineer who is programming the board and machines is normally a different person from the one who is running the line and setting them up. Thus, the engineer and the operator are two different entities in Fig. 4, and it is only the process engineer who can release a program. However, until the release, the  
15 operator can download, modify and change objects and validate them, but only for his/her own line. The Engineer is notified automatically when a change has occurred (see event 37 in Fig. 4) and only he or she has the authority to release the modified package with a new version number to the rest of the lines in the factory, or to the lines distributed globally throughout  
20 the Enterprise. The intermediate incremental change by 0.01 due to the modification of the package form factor follows the same procedure as was the case in Fig. 3.

[0040] A system shown schematically in Fig. 5 involves manufacturing system (100), comprising several lines, in communication over the network with server (120), in turn coupled to data base (110) containing the program. The manufacturing system and the server (120) are also in communication over the network with at least one client device, the at least one client device permitting communication with the server (120), by a person (130) authorized to do so in order to access the program, the program also being accessible via the server (120) by the at least one operator through an interface associated with the manufacturing system. The occurrence of a revision to the program is detected over the network, and a determination is made as to whether the revision to the program was made by a particular one of the at least one authorized person. If the revision was not made by a particular one of the at least one authorized person, a message is sent over the network from the server to a client device to notify the particular person that the revision was made.

[0041] The various aspects of the present invention have been shown and described with reference to particular embodiments and numerous details have been set forth to aid in their understanding. These specific details, however, need not necessarily be employed to practice those aspects of the invention. Moreover, changes in form and details may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. For example, the particular ordering of method steps may, in some instances, be varied or, the disclosed data structure rearranged or supplemented, while preserving its

097978US01

content, without departing from the scope of this aspect of the present invention.

2000P7978US01